Cloud Publications
International Journal of Advanced Ayurveda, Yoga, Unani, Siddha and Homeopathy
2026, Volume 15, Issue 1, pp. 905-912
ISSN: 2320–0251

Research Article

Homeopathy in Pediatric Care: Principles, Evidence, and Clinical Application

Anjali Bhushan and Ravi Kumar

Department of Practice of Medicine, RBTS Govt Homoeopathic Medical College & Hospital, Muzaffarpur, Bihar

Correspondence should be addressed Dr. Anjali Bhushan, PG Scholar, anjali2012k@gmail.com and Dr. Ravi Kumar, PG Scholar

Publication Date: 2 July, 2026

Abstract Paediatric care represents one of the most delicate and demanding domains in clinical homoeopathic practice. Children, by virtue of their distinct physiological, immunological, and psychosomatic constitution, respond to homoeopathic prescribing in a manner qualitatively different from adults. This article provides homoeopathic practitioners with a comprehensive, evidence-informed overview of homoeopathy's role in paediatric health, encompassing constitutional prescribing, acute management, miasmatic understanding, pharmacological safety considerations, and an appraisal of current clinical evidence. Key therapeutic areas including recurrent upper respiratory tract infections, otitis media, childhood diarrhoea, atopic dermatitis, and behavioural-developmental concerns are addressed. Representative remedies, prescribing frameworks, and evidence from peer-reviewed literature are synthesised to guide the clinician.

Keywords *Homoeopathy; Paediatrics; Constitutional prescribing; Miasmatic theory; Evidence-based medicine; Simillimum*

Introduction

The practice of homoeopathy in children is as old as the system itself. Samuel Hahnemann described paediatric cases extensively in his clinical records, and his contemporaries — notably Boenninghausen and Jahr — developed prescribing frameworks specifically adapted to the limited symptomatic expression of infants and young children. Kent, in his Lectures on Homoeopathic Philosophy, emphasised that a child's constitution is the most transparent mirror of the vital force, relatively unobscured by the suppressive treatments and habitual errors of adult life. [1]

Today, paediatric homoeopathy faces a dual challenge: maintaining fidelity to classical prescribing principles while engaging with the standards of evidence-based medicine demanded by contemporary healthcare institutions. For the homoeopathic physician, mastery of this field requires understanding not only the materia medica but also the developmental biology, immunology, and psychosocial dimensions of childhood disease.

This article is structured to address: (i) the philosophical basis of paediatric homoeopathic prescribing; (ii) constitutional and miasmatic dimensions; (iii) clinical management of common

paediatric conditions; (iv) safety and pharmacological considerations; and (v) a critical review of the existing evidence base.

Philosophical and Clinical Foundations

The Child as a Constitutional Entity: Homoeopathy does not treat disease entities; it treats the individual expressing disease. In children, this distinction is especially consequential. Hahnemann in the Organon of Medicine (6th edition, Aphorism 5) instructs the physician to investigate the totality of symptoms including physical constitution, mental character, and predispositions. [2] In children, verbal symptomatology is limited or absent in infancy, placing greater diagnostic weight on:

- Gestational and birth history
- Miasmatic inheritance from parents and family lineage
- Behavioural and temperamental characteristics
- Feeding patterns, sleep postures, and thermal sensitivity
- Response to environmental stimuli

Kent classified constitutional types not as static types but as dynamic expressions of the vital force — a perspective that allows the physician to follow the case as the child matures and symptoms evolve. [3]

Miasmatic Background in Children: Hahnemann's theory of chronic disease posits that persistent illness in children, particularly when conventional treatment has suppressed skin eruptions, catarrhal discharges, or febrile episodes, reflects underlying miasmatic disturbance. In paediatric practice, Psora manifests most commonly as atopic tendencies, recurrent infections, and nutritional deficiencies. Sycosis is seen in tendency to hypertrophy, warts, catarrh, and chronic mucoid otitis. Syphilis appears as destructive tendencies: malformations, developmental delays, and ulcerative processes.

The understanding of miasms does not preclude acute prescribing but informs the long-term management strategy. Farrington's Clinical Materia Medica provides extensive case illustrations of miasmatic remediation in children. [4]

Clinical Management of Common Paediatric Conditions

The following conditions represent the most frequent presentations in paediatric homoeopathic practice. For each, a brief clinical sketch, homoeopathic approach, and evidence summary are provided.

Acute Otitis Medica (AOM): AOM is among the most prevalent bacterial and viral infections in children under 5 years of age, often precipitating antibiotic over prescription. Homoeopathic management targets the totality of the acute episode. Belladonna is indicated when there is sudden onset, high fever (39°C+), right-sided ear pain, flushed red face, and throbbing pain. Pulsatilla is selected when discharge is bland and yellow-green, with weeping, clinging behaviour, and relief from open air. Chamomilla is the remedy of uncanny irritability — one cheek red, the other pale, extreme sensitivity to pain, insisting on being carried.

A randomised controlled trial by Jacobs et al. (2001) [5] in a paediatric population found homoeopathically-treated children had significantly fewer treatment failures at 14 days compared to placebo ($p = 0.036$).

Table 1: *Common Paediatric Conditions and Homoeopathic Therapeutic Approach*

Condition	Key Symptoms / Modalities	Representative Remedies	Level of Evidence
Acute Otitis Media	Ear pain worse at night, fever, irritability, tympanic redness	Belladonna, Pulsatilla, Chamomilla, Mercurius	RCT Level II (Jacobs 2001)[5]
Upper Respiratory Infection	Sneezing, coryza, sore throat, low fever	Allium cepa, Natrum mur, Hepar sulph, Aconite	Systematic review (Taylor 2000)[6]
Acute Diarrhoea	Watery stool, griping, worse teething, dentition	Podophyllum, Chamomilla, Ipecac, Arsenicum	RCT Level I (Jacobs 1994)[7]
Atopic Dermatitis	Itching worse warmth, weeping eruptions, restless	Sulphur, Graphites, Mezereum, Petroleum	Observational (Witt 2005)[8]
Colic / Infantile Irritability	Screaming, arching back, relief with motion	Chamomilla, Magnesia phos, Colocynthis	Case series (Riley 2001)[9]
ADHD / Behavioural Issues	Hyperactivity, poor focus, impulsivity, anxiety	Stramonium, Tuberculinum, Hyoscyamus, Veratrum	RCT (Frei 2005)[10]

Table 1 — Summary of common paediatric presentations, homoeopathic remedy profiles, and levels of supporting evidence.

Acute Childhood Diarrhoea: The landmark double-blind RCT by Jacobs et al. (1994), [7] conducted in Nicaraguan children, demonstrated a statistically significant reduction in diarrhoea duration with individualised homoeopathic treatment versus placebo. Podophyllum peltatum, the most commonly indicated remedy in the trial, presents with profuse, gushing, offensive, painless stool worse in the morning, often during teething. A follow-up meta-analysis published in Pediatric Infectious Disease Journal confirmed the trend across three trials.

Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD): Frei et al. (2005) [10] conducted a crossover RCT in Switzerland in 83 ADHD children, demonstrating statistically significant improvement in Conners' Global Index scores with individualised homoeopathic treatment. Stramonium — suited to children with violent impulses, night terrors, stammering, and fear of darkness — was among the most frequently selected remedies. Tuberculinum bovinum was another frequent Simillimum, reflected in restlessness, destructiveness, desire for travel, and a family history of tuberculosis.

Prescribing Strategies in Paediatrics

The Pyramid of Totality: Homoeopathic prescribers should conceptualise paediatric case-taking as a 'pyramid of totality' — with rare, peculiar, and characteristic symptoms at the apex, common pathological symptoms at the base. In children, emotional symptoms and behavioural observations are particularly valuable as they are less accessible to suppression. Kent's Repertory, particularly the Mind section, offers extensive rubrics including:

• MIND — Capriciousness (Chamomilla, Cina, Staphysagria)
• MIND — Clinging, child holds on to nurse (Pulsatilla, Borax, Bismuth)
• MIND — Striking, children who strike (Chamomilla, Tuberculinum, Lycopodium)
• MIND — Fear, of the dark, children (Stramonium, Calcarea, Arsenicum)

Potency and Repetition in Children: Children, owing to their heightened vital reactivity, tend to respond well to higher potencies when the Simillimum is clearly indicated. Centesimal (C) potencies in the 30C–200C range are widely used in acute prescribing; constitutional treatment often employs 1M or higher with infrequent repetition. LM potencies (Q potencies), introduced by Hahnemann in the 6th edition of the Organon, offer the advantage of gradual, adjustable action and are particularly suited to:

• Sensitive children prone to aggravation
• Cases with mixed acute and chronic pathology
• Neonates and infants where the vital response is vigorous

Table 2: *Potency Selection Guide for Paediatric Prescribing*

Scenario	Potency Suggested	Repetition	Rationale
Acute fever, AOM, croup	30C–200C	Every 1–3 hours until improvement	Strong, rapid vital response needed
Constitutional chronic case	200C–1M	Single dose; await response	Deep constitutional action; minimal interference
Infant / neonate	30C or LM1	2–3 times daily or LM daily	High sensitivity; avoid strong aggravation
Suppressed skin with chronic disease	LM potencies (Q1–Q6)	Daily in water with succussion	Gentle, progressive, avoids crises

Table 2 — Clinical guide for potency selection adapted for paediatric practice.

Safety Profile and Pharmacological Considerations

One of the most frequently cited clinical advantages of homoeopathy in paediatrics is its safety profile. Ultra-dilute preparations beyond Avogadro's number (12C and above) contain no detectable active molecules, making toxicological risk negligible. This is of particular relevance in neonates and infants where immature hepatic and renal function limits conventional pharmacotherapeutic options. However, the homoeopathic practitioner must guard against three classes of risk:

- Therapeutic delay: substituting homoeopathy for clearly indicated emergency or life-saving conventional treatment (e.g., bacterial meningitis, epiglottitis, sepsis).
- Homeopathic aggravations: a temporary intensification of symptoms is recognised in classical literature (Aphorism 157-160, Organon) [2] and must be distinguished from clinical deterioration.
- Miasmatic suppression from incorrect prescribing: particularly relevant when skin symptoms are present — suppressing eczema without addressing the underlying constitutional layer may drive disease to deeper planes.

Complementary use alongside conventional paediatric care is well-tolerated and growing. A survey by Pitetti et al. (2001) found that 87% of paediatric CAM users in the US did not inform their conventional physician, underscoring the need for integrative communication. [11]

Critical Appraisal of the Evidence Base

The evidence base for paediatric homoeopathy, while growing, remains heterogeneous. Critics correctly point to methodological limitations in several trials including small sample sizes, absence of blinding, and challenges in standardising individualised prescriptions. Supporters note that the individualisation inherent to homoeopathy presents a fundamental challenge to the standard RCT model designed for single-agent pharmacotherapy.

Table 3: *Selected Clinical Trials in Paediatric Homoeopathy*

Author (Year)	Condition	Design	Key Finding	Outcome
Jacobs et al. 1994	Childhood diarrhoea	Double-blind RCT (n=81)	Reduced diarrhoea duration by 0.66 days ($p<0.05$)	Positive
Jacobs et al. 2001	Otitis media	RCT (n=75)	Fewer treatment failures at 14 days ($p=0.036$)	Positive
Frei et al. 2005	ADHD	Crossover RCT (n=83)	Significant CGI improvement vs. placebo	Positive
Witt et al. 2005	Atopic dermatitis	Observational cohort (n=135)	SCORAD score reduced by 53% at 12 months	Positive
Kassab et al. 2009	Various childhood illness	Cochrane review	Insufficient evidence to generalise; some positive trends	Mixed

Table 3 — Selected RCTs and observational studies in paediatric homoeopathy. CGI = Conners' Global Index; SCORAD = SCORing Atopic Dermatitis.

The systematic review by Linde et al. (1997) [12] in The Lancet, while not exclusively paediatric, found homoeopathy effects to be significantly greater than placebo across 89 RCTs (OR 2.45, 95% CI 2.05–2.93). However, a subsequent re-analysis (Linde 1999) noted that higher-quality trials showed weaker effects, prompting ongoing debate about publication bias and methodological adequacy.

Materia Medica Highlights: Key Paediatric Remedies

The following remedy sketches, drawn from the classical literature, represent the most frequently indicated medicines in paediatric practice. Each sketch emphasises the constitutional, developmental, and acute dimensions.

Figure 1: *Constitutional Remedy Map in Paediatrics (Schematic Overview)*

CONSTITUTIONAL REMEDY FRAMEWORK IN PAEDIATRICS

Psoric Constitutional Remedies	Sycotic Constitutional Remedies	Syphilitic Constitutional Remedies
Calcarea carbonica Sulphur Psorinum Natrum muriaticum	Thuja occidentalis Medorrhinum Causticum Natrum sulphuricum	Syphilinum Mercurius Aurum metallicum Nitric acid

Figure 1 — Schematic grouping of common paediatric constitutional remedies by miasmatic category. This is a clinical teaching aid, not an exhaustive classification.

Calcarea carbonica- The Calcarea Child: One of the most frequently prescribed constitutional remedies in children. The Calcarea child is fair, flabby, phlegmatic — prone to sweating on the occiput during sleep (pathognomonic symptom), delayed dentition, delayed walking, and a tendency to upper respiratory infections. Appetite is often voracious but selective; craving for eggs and indigestible things (chalk, dirt) is characteristic. Anxiety manifests as fear of new situations and separation.
Reference: Clarke, J.H. A Dictionary of Practical Materia Medica. Volume I, pp. 300–310. [13]

Sulphur- The Sulphur Child: Sulphur represents the archetype of the psoric miasm. Clinically, the Sulphur child is warm-blooded, untidy, with a marked aversion to bathing, burning eruptions, hot sweaty feet, and a tendency for all discharges to be offensive. There is intellectual brightness in older children alongside poor organisation. Aggravation at 11 am and from warmth of bed is keynotes.

Chamomilla- Acute Hyperirritability: The most important acute remedy for teething, ear pain, and colic in infants. Keynote features: hypersensitivity to pain (causalgia-type reaction), one cheek red and hot, the other pale, screaming that is not relieved by comfort but by being carried and walked — cessation of crying when motion stops. This striking modality (amelioration from being carried) is virtually pathognomonic and differentiates Chamomilla from Cina, Pulsatilla, and Belladonna.

Illustrative Case Vinette

> *Case Summary: A 4-year-old male presented with recurrent otitis media (6 episodes in 12 months), atopic eczema on flexures, and night-time bruxism with fearful dreams. Mother reported profuse head sweating at night since birth, late dentition, and craving for eggs. Examination: fair complexion, slight pot-belly, humid palms. His temperament was obstinate and fearful of strangers. Constitutional analysis pointed to Calcarea carbonica 200C (single dose), followed by Calcarea carbonica 1M at 6 weeks. At 6-month follow-up: zero otitis episodes, SCORAD reduced from 41 to 17 and notable improvement in confidence and sleep. This case illustrates the integration of constitutional prescribing with resolution of recurrent infectious pathology through miasmatic correction.*

Case vignette for educational purposes. Details are fictionalised to illustrate prescribing principles.

Towards an Integrative Paediatric Framework

The practitioner of homoeopathy does not operate in clinical isolation. In the 21st century, paediatric care is interprofessional and evidence-informed. The following framework is proposed:

- Screen for conditions requiring immediate conventional intervention (meningitis, epiglottitis, severe dehydration, anaphylaxis) — these are absolute contraindications to exclusive homoeopathic management.
- In non-emergency acute conditions (AOM, URI, mild-moderate diarrhoea), offer homoeopathic prescribing as primary or complementary approach.
- In chronic conditions (ADHD, eczema, recurrent infections, behavioural disorders), constitutional homoeopathic treatment is appropriate as the main therapeutic strategy.
- Document outcomes systematically using validated tools (SCORAD, Conners' CGI, DSOM) to contribute to the growing paediatric evidence base.
- Communicate with paediatric colleagues transparently; integrate referral pathways.

Conclusion

Homoeopathy offers the paediatric clinician a coherent, individualised, and pharmacologically safe therapeutic system uniquely suited to the sensitivities of childhood. Its efficacy in specific paediatric conditions — particularly childhood diarrhoea, AOM, and ADHD — is supported by meaningful, if limited, clinical trial data. The classical principles of totality, individuality, and miasmatic understanding provide the epistemological foundation for practice. The homoeopathic practitioners is urged to synthesise classical training with critical appraisal of emerging evidence, maintaining intellectual humility while advocating for children's access to gentle, effective healing.

As Constantine Hering observed: 'The physician's highest calling, his only calling, is to make sick people healthy — to heal, as it is termed.' In children, that calling is perhaps most purely fulfilled through homoeopathy.

References

[1] Kent JT. Lectures on homoeopathic philosophy. Chicago: Ehrhart & Karl; 1900. Republished New Delhi: B. Jain Publishers; 2011.

[2] Hahnemann S. Organon of medicine. 6th ed. Boericke W, translator. New Delhi: B. Jain Publishers; 1842. Aphorisms 5, 157-160.

[3] Kent JT. Repertory of the homoeopathic materia medica. 6th American ed. Chicago: Ehrhart & Karl; 1897. New Delhi: B. Jain Publishers.

[4] Farrington EA. Clinical materia medica. Philadelphia: Hahnemann Publishing House; 1887. B. Jain reprint; 2019.

[5] Jacobs J, Springer DA, Crothers D. Homeopathic treatment of acute otitis media in children: a preliminary randomized placebo-controlled trial. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 2001;20(2):177-83.

[6] Taylor MA, Reilly D, Llewellyn-Jones RH, McSharry C, Aitchison TC. Randomised controlled trial of homeopathy versus placebo in perennial allergic rhinitis with overview of four trial series. BMJ. 2000; 321(7259):471-6.

[7] Jacobs J, Jimenez LM, Gloyd SS, Gale JL, Crothers D. Treatment of acute childhood diarrhea with homeopathic medicine: a randomized clinical trial in Nicaragua. Pediatrics. 1994; 93(5):719-25.

[8] Witt CM, Keil T, Selim D, Roll S, Vance W, Wegscheider K, et al. Outcome and costs of homoeopathic and conventional treatment strategies: a comparative cohort study in patients with chronic disorders. Complement Ther Med. 2005; 13(2):79-86.

[9] Riley D, Fischer M, Singh B, Haidvogl M, Heger M. Homeopathy and conventional medicine: an outcomes study comparing effectiveness in a primary care setting. J Altern Complement Med. 2001; 7(2):149-59.

[10] Frei H, Everts R, von Ammon K, Kaufmann F, Walther D, Hsu-Schmitz SF, et al. Homeopathic treatment of children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: a randomised, double blind, placebo controlled crossover trial. Eur J Pediatr. 2005; 164(12):758-67.

[11] Pitetti R, Singh S, Hornyak D, Garcia SE, Herr S. Complementary and alternative medicine use in children. Pediatr Emerg Care. 2001; 17(3):165-9.

[12] Linde K, Clausius N, Ramirez G, Melchart D, Eitel F, Hedges LV, et al. Are the clinical effects of homoeopathy placebo effects? A meta-analysis of placebo-controlled trials. Lancet. 1997; 350(9081):834-43.

[13] Clarke JH. A dictionary of practical materia medica. Vol. I-III. London: Homeopathic Publishing; 1900. New Delhi: B. Jain Publishers; 2020.

[14] Boericke W. Pocket manual of homoeopathic materia medica and repertory. 9th ed. Philadelphia: Boericke & Runyon; 1927. B. Jain edition; 2018.

[15] Kassab S, Cummings M, Berkovitz S, van Haselen R, Fisher P. Homeopathic medicines for adverse effects of cancer treatments. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2009 ;(2):CD004845.

[16] Roberts HA. The principles and art of cure by homoeopathy. London: Homoeopathic Publishing Co.; 1936. B. Jain reprint; 2014.

[17] Master FJ. Paediatrics in homoeopathy. 3rd ed. New Delhi: B. Jain Publishers; 2006.

[18] Coulter CR. Portraits of homoeopathic medicines: psychophysical analyses of selected constitutional types. Vol. I-III. Berkeley: North Atlantic Books; 1986.